



Notice of meeting of Scrutiny Management Committee (Calling In)

- To: Councillors Galvin (Chair), Blanchard (Vice-Chair), Kirk, R Watson, Moore, Simpson-Laing, Scott and Taylor
- Date: Monday, 26 November 2007
- **Time:** 5.00 pm
- Venue: Guildhall, York

AGENDA

1. Declarations of Interest

At this point Members are asked to declare any personal or prejudicial interests they may have in the business on this agenda.

2. Exclusion of Press and Public

To consider excluding the public and press from the meeting during consideration of Annex 2 to Appendix B to agenda item 5 (York Racecourse – Application for Lease Extension and Amendments), on the grounds that it contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of particular persons. This information is classed as exempt under Paragraph 3 of Schedule 12A to Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to information) (Variation) Order 2006.

3. Public Participation

At this point in the meeting, members of the public who have registered their wish to speak regarding an item on the agenda or an issue within the remit of the Scrutiny Management Committee (Calling In) may do so. The deadline for registering is **5:00 pm on Friday, 23 November 2007.**



4. Minutes

(Pages 3 - 6)

To approve and sign the minutes of the meeting held on 8 January 2007.

5. York Racecourse – Application For Lease (Pages 7 - 26) Extension And Amendments

To consider the decision of the Executive on the above item, which has been called in by Councillors Scott, Merrett, Fraser, Gunnell and King in accordance with the provisions of the Council's Constitution. A cover report is attached setting out the reasons for the call-in, the remit and powers of Scrutiny Management Committee (Calling In) in relation to the call-in procedure, together with the original report and decision of the Executive.

6. Any other business which the Chair considers urgent under the Local Government Act 1972

Democracy Officer:

Name : Fiona Young Contact Details:

- Telephone : 01904 551027
- E-mail : Fiona.young@york.gov.uk

For more information about any of the following please contact the Democracy Officer responsible for servicing this meeting. Contact details are set out above.

- Registering to speak
- Business of the meeting
- Any special arrangements
- Copies of reports

About City of York Council Meetings

Would you like to speak at this meeting?

If you would, you will need to:

- register by contacting the Democracy Officer (whose name and contact details can be found on the agenda for the meeting) **no later than** 5.00 pm on the last working day before the meeting;
- ensure that what you want to say speak relates to an item of business on the agenda or an issue which the committee has power to consider (speak to the Democracy Officer for advice on this);
- find out about the rules for public speaking from the Democracy Officer.

A leaflet on public participation is available on the Council's website or from Democratic Services by telephoning York (01904) 551088

Further information about what's being discussed at this meeting

All the reports which Members will be considering are available for viewing online on the Council's website. Alternatively, copies of individual reports or the full agenda are available from Democratic Services. Contact the Democracy Officer whose name and contact details are given on the agenda for the meeting. Please note a small charge may be made for full copies of the agenda requested to cover administration costs.

Access Arrangements

We will make every effort to make the meeting accessible to you. The meeting will usually be held in a wheelchair accessible venue with an induction hearing loop. We can provide the agenda or reports in large print, electronically (computer disk or by email), in Braille or on audio tape. Some formats will take longer than others so please give as much notice as possible (at least 48 hours for Braille or audio tape).

If you have any further access requirements such as parking close-by or a sign language interpreter then please let us know. Contact the Democracy Officer whose name and contact details are given on the order of business for the meeting.

Every effort will also be made to make information available in another language, either by providing translated information or an interpreter providing sufficient advance notice is given. Telephone York (01904) 551550 for this service.

যদি যধেষ্ট আগে ধেকে জানানো হয় তাহলে অন্য কোন ভাষাতে তথ্য জানানোর জন্য সব ধরণের চেষ্টা করা হবে, এর জন্য দরকার হলে তথ্য অনুবাদ করে দেয়া হবে অর্থবা একজন দোভাষী সরবরাহ করা হবে। টেলিফোন নম্বর (01904) 551 550 ।

Yeteri kadar önceden haber verilmesi koşuluyla, bilgilerin terümesini hazırlatmak ya da bir tercüman bulmak için mümkün olan herşey yapılacaktır. Tel: (01904) 551 550

我們竭力使提供的資訊備有不同語言版本,在有充足時間提前通知的情況下會安排筆 譯或口譯服務。電話 (01904) 551 550。

اگر مناسب وقت سے اطلاع دی جاتی ہے توہم معلومات کا ترجمہ میا کرنے کی پوری کوش کریں گے۔ ٹیلی فون 550 551 (01904)

Informacja może być dostępna w tłumaczeniu, jeśli dostaniemy zapotrzebowanie z wystarczającym wyprzedzeniem. Tel: (01904) 551 550

Holding the Executive to Account

The majority of councillors are not appointed to the Executive (38 out of 47). Any 3 non-Executive councillors can 'call-in' an item of business from a published Executive (or Executive Member Advisory Panel (EMAP)) agenda. The Executive will still discuss the 'called in' business on the published date and will set out its views for consideration by a specially convened Scrutiny Management Committee (SMC). That SMC meeting will then make its recommendations to the next scheduled Executive meeting in the following week, where a final decision on the 'called-in' business will be made.

Scrutiny Committees

The purpose of all scrutiny and ad-hoc scrutiny committees appointed by the Council is to:

- Monitor the performance and effectiveness of services;
- Review existing policies and assist in the development of new ones, as necessary; and
- Monitor best value continuous service improvement plans

Who Gets Agenda and Reports for our Meetings?

- Councillors get copies of all agenda and reports for the committees to which they are appointed by the Council;
- Relevant Council Officers get copies of relevant agenda and reports for the committees which they report to;
- Public libraries get copies of **all** public agenda/reports.

Agenda Item 4

| City of York Council | Committee Minutes |
|----------------------|---|
| MEETING | SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE |
| DATE | 8 JANUARY 2007 |
| PRESENT | COUNCILLORS KIRK (CHAIR), MERRETT (VICE- CHAIR), BLANCHARD, HYMAN, LIVESLEY, D'AGORNE (SUBSTITUTE) AND HOLVEY |
| APOLOGIES | COUNCILLORS CUTHBERTSON AND HILL |

4. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The Chair invited Members to declare at this point in the meeting any personal or prejudicial interests they may have in the business on the agenda.

Cllr Merrett declared a personal interest in Agenda Item 3, as his daughter has or has had swimming lessons at the Barbican and Edmund Wilson pools, and is a member of York Baths Club.

5. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

It was reported that there had been one registration to speak at the meeting under the Council's Public Participation Scheme.

Mr Crowe spoke as a resident of York regarding Agenda Item 3, York West Swimming Facilities. He stated that he accepted that the preferred option was Oaklands, but raised his concerns regarding the fact that the proposal was only for a five-lane pool. He stated that a six lane pool could be put on the site and that it could be funded through the available budget. He also raised concerns about the University proposals and the timescales involved. He stated that the drawings in the documentation were not to scale and he had asked for scale drawings but these had not been available. He requested that the item be referred back to the Executive for consideration.

6. YORK WEST SWIMMING FACILITIES - CALLED-IN DECISION FROM EXECUTIVE

Members considered the decision on York West Swimming Facilities from the meeting of the Executive on 19 December 2006. This had been calledin by Councillors Evans, Smallwood and B Watson in accordance with the requirements of the Council's Constitution. A cover report was attached setting out the reasons for the call-in, the remit and powers of SMC in relation to the call-in procedure, together with the original report and the decision of the Executive.

The Executive Leader had agreed that, in the light of the results of the public consultation, Option C at the Oaklands site be selected as the preferred location and way forward for modernising swimming and leisure facilities (including an enhanced gym and crèche) on the west of the

City, that the proposed agreement with the University of York involving a partnership approach to providing new swimming facilities in the south of the City be supported, and that Officers be requested to pursue as quickly as is practical the plans for refurbishment of the Yearsley pool.

However the calling-in Members sought reconsideration of the decision as detailed below:

- (a) Despite claims, the consultation is not a fair representation of York residents views. The fact that only parts of the west side of the city were consulted is not representative, as residents from other parts of the city are also going to use this pool;
- (b) The replacement pool is smaller and has limited spectator facilities, despite initial proposals for a much better facility;
- (c) The adequacy of a reduced pool width to maintain the current level of swimming lessons without taking time out of public swimming has not been demonstrated, nor has the impact of reduced lessons on swimming for school pupils been ascertained;
- (d) The information on alternative provision for the York Baths Club and spectator swimming in York were not clear enough and are potentially completely inadequate;
- (e) The decision on these proposals should not precede confirmation that the University proposal is adequate and deliverable within the timescales stated.

Cllr Smallwood and Cllr B Watson attended the meeting as Call-In Members and raised their concerns regarding the consultation process and stated that this should have been city-wide. They stated that the facilities would not be as good as they are currently, e.g. for spectators, and that the assets of the centre of the city were being transferred to the west of the city.

Members discussed the issue of the size of the proposed pool in terms of it being five or six lanes, and officers clarified that the feasibility study carried out was for a five lane pool and this is seen as being adequate, and is effective and efficient use of the capital available. They clarified that the drawings referred to by Mr Crowe are pictorial only and are not to scale.

The consultation was discussed, and officers responded to the issues raised stating that the consultation was city-wide as responses had been received via the website and the Talkabout panel, as well as the consultation to the five wards in the West of the city.

Members discussed the provision for swimming lessons and officers stated that the provision of a five-lane pool rather than a six-lane pool would not affect the provision of school swimming lessons. The detail of the interim arrangements for York Baths Club would be discussed with them.

Members discussed the proposals for the University and the outcome of the Public Inquiry, and officers clarified that the outcome of the above was not connected in any way to the proposals for the Oaklands site. Cllr Merrett proposed a motion to approve Option (b) of the report and refer the matter back to the Executive for consideration, for the five-lane and sixlane issue to be looked at, and for a proper city wide consultation on the overall pool strategy to be carried out. This motion was seconded by Cllr Blanchard.

This motion was lost on being put to the vote.

Members requested that their votes be recorded, which were as follows: For : Cllrs Merrett, Blanchard and D'Agorne

Against : Cllrs Holvey, Kirk, Hyman and Livesley

Cllr Livesley proposed a motion to approve Option (a) of the report, which was seconded by Cllr Hyman.

- RESOLVED: That Option (a) of the report be approved; to confirm the decision of the Executive.
- REASON: The Scrutiny Management Committee does not believe there is any basis for reconsideration.

Members requested that their votes be recorded, which were as follows: For : Cllrs Kirk, Hyman, Livesley and Holvey Against : Cllrs Merrett, Blanchard and D'Agorne

Cllr Kirk, Chair [The meeting started at 6.05 pm and finished at 7.40 pm].



Scrutiny Management Committee (Calling – In)

26 November 2007

Report of the Head of Civic, Democratic and Legal Services

YORK RACE COURSE – APPLICATION FOR LEASE EXTENSION AND AMENDMENTS – CALLED-IN DECISION FROM EXECUTIVE

Summary

 This report sets out the reasons for the call-in of the decision made by the Executive relating to York Racecourse – Application for Lease Extension and Amendments. It also explains the powers and role of Scrutiny Management Committee (Call-In) [SMC] in relation to dealing with the call-in.

Background

- 2. At the meeting of the Executive held on 20 November 2007, the decision set out at Appendix A to this report was made.
- **3.** Subsequent to that decision, Councillors Scott, Merrett, Fraser, Gunnell and King then called-in the decision for review by SMC (Calling -In) in accordance with the constitutional requirements for post-decision call-in. The reasons given for the call-in are as follows:-
 - (a) The Executive should have referred the lease back to Officers for further negotiation and/or should have ensured that monies were earmarked from the monies received to ensure that the issues raised by the Shadow Executive were addressed.

Consultation

4. In accordance with the requirements of the Constitution, the calling-in Members have been invited to attend and/or speak at the Call-In meeting, as appropriate.

Options

- 5. The following options are available to SMC (Calling-In) in relation to dealing with this call-in in relation to the constitutional and legal requirements under the Local Government Act 2000:
 - (a) to confirm the decision of the Executive in the sense that SMC (Calling-In) does not believe there is any basis for reconsideration. If this option is chosen the decision takes effect from the date of the SMC (Calling-In) meeting;
 - (b) to refer it back to the decision taker to reconsider or amend in part their decision (ie: the provisional Executive (Calling-In) meeting on 27 November 2007).

Analysis

6. Members need to consider the reasons for call-in and the basis of the decision made by the Executive and form a view on whether there is a basis for reconsideration of that decision.

Corporate Priorities

7. The issues in this report do not relate to the council's current Corporate Priorities for Improvement.

Implications

8. There are no known implications in relation to the following in terms of dealing with the specific matter before Members, namely to determine and handle the call-in.

Risk Management

9. There are no risk management implications associated with the call in of this matter.

Recommendations

10. Members are asked to consider the call-in and reasons for it and decide whether they wish to confirm the decision made by the Executive or refer it back to them for reconsideration.

Reason:

To enable the called-in matter to be dealt with efficiently.

Contact details:

Author: Dawn Steel Democratic Services Manager 01904 551030 email: dawn.steel@york.gov.uk

Chief Officer Responsible for the report:

Colin Langley Interim Head of Civic, Democratic and Legal Services

Report Approved

Date 21/11/07

Specialist Implications Officer(s) None Wards Affected: Micklegate, Dringhouses and Woodthorpe

All

For further information please contact the author of the report

Annexes

Appendix A – decision of the Executive – 20 November 2007 Appendix B - Executive report – 20 November 2007

APPENDIX A

RESOLVED: (i)

(i) That Option A be approved, that is:

a) to surrender the existing lease for the Racecourse in favour of a new 99 year lease, from 2008;

b) to include the remaining 3 acres of the West Enclosure in the lease, subject to the results of advertising under Section 123 of the Local Government Act 1972;

c) to increase the number of permitted Race Days as of right to 20, with any others to be subject to approval by the Head of Parks and Open Spaces, in consultation with Officers in City Strategy;

d) to add the 'Ascot Bend' to the race track, in exchange for land on the Tadcaster Road straight;

e) to allow up to 10 days for the erection of hospitality marquees and 4 days for removal, instead of the current 'Race Week', subject to the approval of the Head of Parks and Open Spaces.

REASON: To ensure that York Racecourse maintains its position amongst the top courses in the country, whilst at the same time continuing to be a valued local amenity that plays a valuable role in the economic development of the City and brings benefits in a manner that is acceptable to the residents of York.

(ii) That Officers be asked to investigate further options for providing a set of temporary toilets, including bringing back into use the existing toilet block on Knavesmire Road, on major Race Days.

REASON: In order to address Ward Members' suggestions in this regard and in view of the fact that the Race Committee are not willing to provide toilets in the Scarcroft Hill area and that there is no budget provision for the estimated £8k cost of providing and servicing toilets for the main race meetings.

> (iii) That the other issues raised by Members be kept under review and addressed as part of any proposed changes to licensing arrangements and planning permissions and / or during traffic management reviews.

REASON: To ensure that these matters are dealt with in an appropriate context.

(iv) That any further refinement of the 'off course' race management arrangements - which may involve additional cost - be

submitted as a revenue growth bid as part of the annual budget build process.

REASON: To ensure that adequate funding arrangements are in place before any changes are agreed.



Appendix B

Executive

20 November 2007

Report of the Corporate Landlord and Director of Learning, Culture and Children's Services

York Racecourse – Application For Lease Extension And Amendments

Purpose of Report

- 1 The Racecourse is let by virtue of a 99 year ground lease from January 1957. This report is to enable Members to consider a request from the Race Committee to extend the lease to 99 years, from 2008, to include permanent rights to retain the 'Ascot Bend' and make other changes to update the lease.
- 2 The reason for this report coming to members now is because with less than 50 years remaining on the lease it is not financially prudent for the York Race Committee to borrow further funds with which to develop and maintain their facilities to the highest order. An agreement on an extended lease will allow such investment to continue.

Background

- 3 The current position
 - a) The plan at Annex 1 shows the area subject to the 1957 lease by black hatch. The Race Committee have invested significantly in this area, including building the new Ebor, Knavesmire and County stands. As this is a ground lease, the buildings are the tenant's property, to maintain for the period of the lease.
 - b) In addition to this area, the lease permits the Race Committee to;
 - i) Retain and maintain the Racecourse
 - ii) Construct and retain the Totalisator in the public part of the West Enclosure, opposite the main stands.
 - iii) Control admission to the Knavesmire and erect marquees etc during 'Race Weeks', which are defined as being from the Saturday

preceding any week during which racing takes place to the Saturday next following (both days inclusive).

- c) The course was extended in 2005 to form a full circuit for the Royal Ascot meeting, by the addition of about 500m of track beyond the winning post connecting with the straight at Tadcaster Road, the extra section being known as the 'Ascot Bend'. This section is held on licence only, and is shown x x on the plan.
- d) Rent payable is related to receipts, and is reviewed ten yearly. The rent was last fixed on 1 January 2007. Further details are given in Exempt Annex 2.
- e) 15 Race days are permitted as of right. Others are by agreement with the council at an additional rent. It is current practice for 16 or 17 days racing to be run, although only 15 days are scheduled for 2008 due to the planned drainage improvements in Autumn 2008. The core meetings are:

May Festival - 3 days June - 2 days July – John Smiths Cup Meeting – 3 days August – Ebor Meeting – 3 days September - 2 days October - 2 days

- 4 The Traffic Management Plan for Race Meetings has been approved by the Executive (Agenda Item 7 to the meeting of 27 March 2007 in particular) following discussions and negotiations with all relevant parties. The council undertake approved measures within a budget of £30,000 and the Racecourse fixed their agreed works at an estimated cost of £45,000. It is not possible to include this subject within the lease but is part of the wider discussions with the Race Committee.
- 5 The use of the Knavesmire for recreational purposes and the impact on race days upon the local neighbourhood are subjects of great interest to citizens and ward councillors. The proximity of the racecourse to the new Terry's site also presents significant opportunities for economic development. Local ward Councillors have been consulted and their views have helped shape the negotiations, however not all of their concerns can be remedied through the lease.

Proposed changes

- 6 The changes requested are:
 - a) To restore the lease length to 99 years, from 2008.

This is because there are now 48 years remaining on the existing lease, and as the remaining length declines, investment is impeded. It is more difficult to raise

finance under a shorter lease, and investment has to be depreciated over a shorter period, affecting the viability and long term view. The extended lease is requested to facilitate continued investment in order that the Racecourse may retain and enhance its position as a leading course, in a modern racing environment.

The current projects being considered (subject to an extended lease being granted and other necessary permissions) are;

- Enhanced facilities and refurbishment of the Melrose Stand,
- Improvements to the parade ring area.
- Integrate the Conference and Exhibition facilities at the Racecourse with the Terry's site development, which includes hotels, to create a year round venue to develop York's Conference and Exhibition business.

These are in addition to the track widening, drainage improvements and inner safety road, already approved.

b) To include the whole of the West Enclosure in the lease.

This is the 3 acre site marked 'W' on the plan at Annex 1. It is in practice heavily used during Race Meetings as of right under the current lease, and the time taken for the turf to recover adversely affects its use for public or other events at other times. It is used however by the Motor Caravan Show in particular. These larger events do simultaneously hire facilities from the Racecourse.

If approved in principle;

- Additional rent would be payable as detailed in Exempt Annex 2
- The council would save on any future maintenance or investment costs which average about £1,000 pa
- It does not prevent other events from being held on other parts of the Knavesmire, e.g. Race For Life, Circuses, football
- The proposal to lease the whole area would need to be advertised in the local press for public comment, as disposal of open space, under S123 of the Local Government Act 1972. All comments received would be considered in consultation with the Executive Member for Corporate Services, and referred to the Executive Member Advisory Panel if he considers them to be substantial.
- c) To increase the number of permitted Race Days under the lease from the present 15 to 20.

Fifteen race days will remain the core for the calculation of the rent based on the existing rental formula. Outside the core 15 days, additional rent would be payable (which is calculated on the same formula). An additional meeting, often in July, is now the norm, and this is permitted by consent. As the course at York improves, with the safety road and better drainage etc, more Race Days can be bid for in the future to the Racing Authorities, and this would give the Race Committee the ability to do so.

d) To add the 'Ascot Bend' to the track on a permanent basis.

Planning consent has been obtained for the permanent retention of the track with public crossing points reserved (January 2006). There are safety benefits in keeping the 'run off' area separate from the public, and longer races can be attracted in the future. In return for this being added, part of the former straight adjoining Tadcaster Road, between A and B on the plan, would be removed from the track and lease, and returned to full public use.

e) To extend the definition of 'Race Weeks'.

The current definition, being the Saturday before a meeting to the Saturday afterwards inclusive, can allow only 2-3 days to erect and take down marquees. This is often impractical in the time available, especially with more marquees and hospitality around events currently. Up to 10 days before a meeting and 4 days afterwards is requested for marquees, but only in defined areas at Bustardthorpe and adjoining the Parade Ring, and not the Knavesmire as a whole. Marquees cannot be retained for more than 28 consecutive days without planning consent.

8. Based on the terms provisionally negotiated, the council would obtain the following direct benefits from the proposed changes.

a) The rent be reviewed 5 yearly, not 10 yearly, beginning from the next review in 2017. This would smooth out the council's income from the Race Course and aid budget planning. An analysis of this is at Exempt Annex 2.

b) That the events budget for the Arts and Culture Service within Learning, Culture and Children's Services be reimbursed for the events which currently pay to use the balance of the West Enclosure proposed to be included in the lease. The details are in Exempt Annex 2.

c) Additional rent is payable for additional race days.

d) That the new track safety road be used as a cycle track and footpath, excluding Race Days. The council would be responsible for connections to Tadcaster Road via Cherry Lane, and to Campleshon Road.

Consultation

9 Ward Members have made 5 suggestions for consideration, listed a) to e), with officer's response below;

a) Proper policing of events funded by the course.

Response; This is covered by the Safety of Sports Grounds Certificate. Up to 50 police are on duty, and up to 250 site security staff employed. The control of possible offences by those who have left a sports event is not the legal responsibility of the event organiser.

b) Fuller funding of traffic management arrangements, or providing parking off Sim Balk Lane (as for the Ascot meeting) rather than Knavesmire Gates.

Response; The Traffic Management Plan for Race Meetings has been approved by the Executive in March 2007. This is a recently agreed solution and renegotiation is not reasonably possible so soon after conclusion.

The temporary 'Ascot' car park on the Knavesmire side of Sim Balk Lane is costly to establish. The arable land concerned is in private ownership. Access is along the cycle way, and there is a long walk to the course. It is regarded as an overflow option only, and that vehicles on Sim Balk Lane can quickly get to the Bustardthorpe car park in any case. Race goers may not use a car park so far from the course and may then cause congestion by parking elsewhere.

c) Funding temporary toilets on walking routes into town after meetings.

Response; Some toilets were provided during the Ascot meeting by the Council, but were funded on a 'one off' basis by Yorkshire Forward. There is no obligation for the Race Committee to provide any toilets off site e.g. through the safety of sports grounds and liquor licences. They do however provide additional toilets within the course. As the location for other toilets would be outside of the lease area, the Race Committee will not fund or manage such a facility. This issue is discussed later in paragraph 11.

d) Providing proper stiles/gates where they cross recognised walking routes, e.g. Tyburn to Albermarle Road.

Response; This can be achieved by returning part of the straight opposite Tadcaster Road to full public use, as referred to in paragraph 3(c). A fixed crossing point causes wear and tear on the track hence the Race Committee move access points from time to time.

e) Connecting paths to the new safety road

Response; It is agreed that the safety road will be useable for pedestrians and cyclists and investigations are underway to provide and sign such links e.g. from Tadcaster Road via Cherry Lane.

- 10 In summary two suggestions have been included within the proposed changes (d) and (e), two are not believed practical under the lease (a) and (b), and one (c) is examined further in paragraph 11.
- 11 As has been discussed above the provision of toilets in the Scarcroft Hill area is not something which Race Committee are willing to do. The estimated cost for the providing and servicing toilets for the main meetings (May to August) is £8,000. There is no budget for such provision.
- 12 The Director of City Strategy has been consulted and his comments are incorporated into the report.

Options

- 13 Members have the option to either;
 - A) Agree the new 99 year lease incorporating the changes in paragraph 6, or
 - B) Decline to extend the lease, or
 - C) Agree a new lease but subject to conditions

Analysis

- 14 Option A Agree the lease extension
 - Advantages -York Racecourse is operated on a not for profit basis. Income from meetings is reinvested to improve the facilities and racing. Extending the lease would provide greater financial security for the Racecourse to continue to invest, and to retain and enhance York's position as one of the country's leading courses. This is particularly important now to also link the Racecourse's conference and sporting facilities with the Terry's development and proposed hotels. This option is consistent with the council's role as an enabling authority, to encourage investment in the city. The declining lease length would otherwise act increasingly as a barrier to future investment.
 - Disadvantages The terms of the lease would be fixed for 99 years, save for changes by agreement. This may be seen as more inflexible.
- 15 <u>Option B</u> Decline to extend the lease.
 - Advantages To retain future options to amend the lease terms, albeit not until 2056 or at some time in the future when both parties wish to review the situation.

Disadvantages - Not to support the track improvements, future investment in the stands and to link with Terry's for an integrated Conference and Exhibition facility which could be seen as detrimental to the long term prosperity of the City.

16 Option C

- Advantages A balance between options A and B and accept some of the changes requested in paragraph 6, and not others.
- Disadvantages It is doubtful if York Race Committee would accept a partial agreement.

Corporate Priorities

- 17 The proposed new lease will enable the following corporate priorities as set out in the Council's 2007/08 Best Value Performance Plan to be enhanced;
 - a) Increase the use of environmentally friendly transport. The proposals include joint use of the new track safety road as a cycle way and footpath.
 - b) Increase the actual and perceived condition and appearance of the city's streets and open spaces. Through the ongoing investment in the facilities and continued involvement in Yorkshire In Bloom.
 - c) Improve Economic Prosperity. The Race Meetings and the developing conference business will contribute.
 - d) Working with partners to deliver better services i.e. working with the racecourse to enhance the venue for the provision of leisure and business facilities.

Implications

- 18 a) Financial there are no significant financial implications in the short term. In the longer term, the income to the council will increase due to these proposals as referred to in Annex 2 (Exempt). Loss of opportunity for the use of the West Enclosure is compensated by a guaranteed payment from the Race Committee.
 - b) Human Resources, Equalities, Crime and Disorder and IT. There are no implications in these areas.
 - c) Legal a new lease will require many hours of work and this may have to be externalised. Legal costs will be recoverable from the Racecourse Committee.
 - d) Property as contained in the report. The land use is established.
 - e) Other there are no new licensing or other implications.

Risk Management

19 There are limited risks to the council arising from the options in this report. If the negotiations do not proceed the existing lease will continue to run for a further 48 years and the Council will continue to receive the annual income.

Recommendation

- 20 Members are asked to consider;
 - a) The approval of Option A being;
 - i) The surrender of the existing lease for the Racecourse in favour of a new 99 year lease, from 2008
 - ii) The inclusion of the remaining 3 acres of the West Enclosure in the lease, subject to the results of advertising under S123 of the Local Government Act 1972
 - iii) To increase the number of permitted Race Days as of right to 20, with any others to be subject to approval of the Head of Parks and Open Spaces in consultation with officers in City Strategy
 - iv) To add the 'Ascot Bend' to the race track, in exchange for land on the Tadcaster Road straight
 - v) To allow up to 10 days for the erection of hospitality marquees and 4 days for removal, instead of the current 'Race Week', to be subject to approval of the Head of Parks and Open Spaces.
 - b) Giving guidance on the possible provision of temporary toilets as set out in paragraph 11.
 - c) Reason

To ensure that York Racecourse maintains its position amongst the top courses in the country whilst at the same time continuing to be a valued local amenity that plays a valuable role in the economic development of the city and brings benefits in a manner that is acceptable to the residents of York.

Author: David Baren Property Manager (Commercial) Property Services Tel: (01904) 553306 Chief Officer Responsible for the report: Neil Hindhaugh Head of Property Services Tel: (01904) 553312

Email: <u>david.baren@york.gov.uk</u>

Email: neil.hindhaugh@york.gov.uk

Co – Author: Dave Meigh, Head of Parks and Open Spaces, Learning, Culture and Children's Services. Tel: (01904) 553386 Email: <u>dave.meigh@york.gov.uk</u>


Wards Affected: Micklegate, Report Approved Dringhouses and Woodthorpe Date 25th October 2007

For further information please contact the author of the report

Background Papers – Lease Documents (Confidential)

Annexes 1 – Site Plan

2 – Exempt Financial Analysis



Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. City of York Council 100019086 2007



By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted